IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. INTEGRACOLOR | & & & & | Case No. 2:13-CV-484-JRG-RSP LEAD CASE |
|---|------------------|---|
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. PERFORMANCE COMPANIES, LP | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-485-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. BEST PRESS, INC. | % % % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-486-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. OVATION GRAPHICS, LLC, ET AL. | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-487-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. NIEMAN PRINTING | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-488-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. TST/IMPRESO, INC. | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-489-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. IMAGINE! PRINT SOLUTIONS, INC. | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-490-JRG-RSP |

| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. BLANKS PRINTING & IMAGING, INC. | & & & & | Case No. 2:13-CV-491-JRG-RSP |
|---|--|------------------------------|
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. PRINTPLACE.COM | \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ | Case No. 2:13-CV-492-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. ETHERIDGE PRINTING | & & & & | Case No. 2:13-CV-493-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. O'NEIL DATA SYSTEMS, INC. | % % % | Case No. 2:13-CV-495-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. COCKRELL ENOVATION, INC., ET AL. | & & & & | Case No. 2:13-CV-496-JRG-RSP |
| CTP INNOVATIONS, LLC v. XPRESSDOCS GP, LLC | \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ | Case No. 2:13-CV-516-JRG-RSP |

ORDER REGARDING JOINT MOTIONS TO STAY

Before the Court are the parties' Joint Motions to Stay Case Pending Determination of Inter Partes Review Proceedings. The Court notes that nearly identical motions have been filed in each of the above-captioned cases. Despite the Court having expended a great deal of judicial resources becoming familiar with the patents in question, the Court agrees with the parties' joint request to stay this action pending Inter Partes Review ("IPR"). Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** the parties' request to stay this action and **ORDERS** that this action be stayed for a period of six months. The parties are also **ORDERED** to submit a joint status report to the Court no later than April 9, 2014, setting forth: the status of the IPR, any action taken by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board up to that date, and the parties' positions regarding whether the stay should continue and if so, the length of additional time appropriate for a continued stay. In view of this stay, the Court **DENIES** without prejudice the Defendants' Motions to Transfer Venue.

SIGNED this 11th day of October, 2013.

ROY S. PAYNE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE